

# CCDA Bill Tracking Report

## Summaries Based on Information dated February 25, 2016

### AB 54 (Olsen R) Disability access: construction-related accessibility claims: demand letters

Current Text: Amended: 1/13/2016

Introduced: 12/1/2014

Last Amend: 1/13/2016

Status: 2/4/2016-Referred to Com. on JUD.(Committee on Judiciary)

Location: 2/4/2016-S. JUD. (Judiciary)

Summary: The Construction-Related Accessibility Standards Compliance Act establishes standards for making new construction and existing facilities accessible to persons with disabilities and provides for construction-related accessibility claims for violations of those standards. Current law requires that a copy of the demand letter and the complaint be sent to the California Commission on Disability Access. This bill would, in addition, require that information about the demand letter and the complaint be submitted to the commission in a standard format specified by the commission.

### AB 2093 (Steinorth R) Disability Access

Current Text: Introduced 2/17/2016

Introduced: 2/17/2016

Status: 2/18/2016-From printer. Mat be heard in committee March 19

Location: 2/17/2016-A. Print

Summary: Would require applicants for Certified Access Specialist certification or renewal to additionally provide to the State Architect the name of the city, county, or city and county in which the applicant intends to provide or has provided services, and would require the State Architect to post that information on his or her Internet Web site. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

### SB 269 (Roth D) Disability Access

Current Text: Amended: 1/25/2016

Introduced: 2/19/2015

Last Amend: 1/25/2016

Status: 1/25/2016-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Committee on Appropriations)

Location: 1/25/2016-A. APPR. (Appropriations)

Summary: Current law specifies that a violation of construction-related accessibility standards personally encountered by a plaintiff may be sufficient to cause a denial of full and equal access if the plaintiff experienced difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment because of the violation. This bill would, for claims filed on and after its effective date, establish a rebuttable presumption, for the purpose of an award of minimum statutory damages, that certain technical violations do not cause a plaintiff to experience difficulty, discomfort, or embarrassment, if specified conditions are met.